Nanoscale

PAPER



View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 3737

Received 5th November 2013 Accepted 15th January 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c3nr05889a

www.rsc.org/nanoscale

Introduction

Magnetic field modulation of chirooptical effects in magnetoplasmonic structures†

Gaspar Armelles,* Blanca Caballero, Patricia Prieto, Fernando García, Alfonso Cebollada, Maria Ujué González and Antonio García-Martin

In this work we analyse the magnetic field effects on the chirooptical properties of magnetoplasmonic chiral structures. The structures consist of two-dimensional arrays of Au gammadions in which thin layers of Co have been inserted. Due to the magnetic properties of the Au/Co interface the structures have perpendicular magnetic anisotropy which favours magnetic saturation along the surface normal, allowing magnetic field modulation of the chirooptical response with moderate magnetic fields. These structures have two main resonances. The resonance at 850 nm has a larger chirooptical response than the resonance at 650 nm, which, on the other hand, exhibits a larger magnetic field modulation of its chirooptical response. This dissimilar behaviour is due to the different physical origin of the chirooptical and magneto-optical response is related to the intensity of the electromagnetic field in the magnetic (Co) layers. We also show that the optical chirality can be modulated by the applied magnetic field, which suggests that magnetoplasmonic chiral structures could be used to develop new strategies for chirooptical sensing.

In the last few years, a new family of chiral materials based on metallic nanostructures has been developed.1-5 For these systems, high values of chirooptical effects have been obtained and structures with negative refraction behaviour⁶ and sensors with enhanced chiral sensitivity have been realized.7 At the same time, structures with plasmon resonances and magnetooptical (MO) activity have also been studied.8 For these so-called magnetoplasmonic systems high values of MO activity may be achieved upon plasmon excitation.9-12 Moreover, due to their magneto-optical activity, plasmonic properties can be modulated under the action of an external magnetic field.¹³ These two effects find applications in, for example, sensing or telecommunications.13-18 A magnetic field applied along the propagation direction of a circularly polarized light traveling in a chiral medium does not modify the polarization state of the light and thus it induces no coupling between the eigenmodes of the chiral media.¹⁹⁻²³ Therefore, it is conceivable that plasmonic structures presenting optical activity and magneto-optical properties simultaneously are potential candidates to develop tuneable chiral structures whose properties could be controlled by a magnetic field. The aim of the present work is to analyse

this concept by fabricating and studying magnetoplasmonic chiral elements based on gammadion crosses. The choice of these structures is based on the fact that gold gammadions have already shown strong chirooptical effects caused by plasmon excitation.24-27 Besides, MO activity can be easily incorporated to these systems by introducing layers of ferromagnetic metals inside the Au crosses (magnetoplasmonic gammadions). Additionally, by choosing the adequate ferromagnetic material and internal layering, the magnetic properties and magnetic field dependence of the signal can be controlled, thus reducing the magnetic field needed to obtain the desired magnetic modulation of the chiral response. We will show that these systems present two main resonances in the spectral range of 400-1100 nm, with different chirooptical response and magnetic field induced effects. The physical origin of these two properties is related to the geometry of the structures and the intensity of the in-plane electromagnetic field in the Co MO active layers, respectively.

Results and discussion

The studied structures consist of two-dimensional arrays of gammadions deposited on glass substrates, whose nominal dimensions and period are given in Fig. 1a. The internal structure of the magnetoplasmonic gammadion crosses is constituted by a Au/Co multilayer (ML) ($12 \times (6 \text{ nm Au/1 nm Co})$) covered with 20 nm of Au (total thickness 104 nm). Arrays of 104 nm thick pure Au gammadions were also fabricated with the

IMM-Instituto de Microelectrónica de Madrid (CNM-CSIC), Isaac Newton 8, PTM, E-28760, Tres Cantos, Madrid, Spain. E-mail: gaspar@imm.cnm.csic.es

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c3nr05889a



Fig. 1 (a) (Left) Schema with the dimensions and period of the Au and Au/Co multi-layered gammadion crosses, (right) cross-section of the internal structure of the magnetoplasmonic Au/Co gammadions. (b) SEM image of a representative array. The inset shows an AFM zoom of one of the gammadions. (c) Magnetic field dependence of the circular dichroism signal for the Au/Co multilayer crosses.

same dimensions and period. In both cases a 2 nm thick Ti layer was deposited on top of the glass substrate to increase the adhesion. In Fig. 1b we present a SEM image of a collection of gammadions as well as an AFM zoom of one of them, showing their specific morphology. As far as the purely magnetic properties are concerned, interface anisotropy in the Au/Co MLs leads to perpendicular magnetic anisotropy which favours magnetic saturation of the system along the surface normal with moderate magnetic fields. Chiral objects show different absorption for left- and right-hand circularly polarized light, this property is denoted as circular dichroism, CD. The sign of CD depends on the twist or handedness of the structure. MO structures also present CD induced by the magnetic field. In the case of ferromagnetic systems, as the ones studied here, the magnetic field induced CD (magnetic circular dichroism, MCD) depends on the magnetization (M), and is defined as: MCD =CD(+M) - CD(-M), *i.e.* the difference in the CD signal for the system magnetized in opposite directions. In Fig. 1c we present the magnetic field dependent component of the CD signal at a wavelength of 650 nm as a function of the external magnetic field applied perpendicular to the sample plane (z-axis). The



Fig. 2 Experimental circular dichroism spectra of Au (a) and Au/Co (b) gammadions arrays. The insets show theoretical spectra for perfect gammadion crosses (full line) and gammadion crosses with smooth edges (dotted line).

obtained CD hysteresis loop is characteristic of a ferromagnetic structure with perpendicular magnetic anisotropy, with a relatively low saturation field and 100% remanence.

In Fig. 2 we present experimental and theoretical (insets) CD spectra for pure Au (Fig. 2a) and Au/Co ML (Fig. 2b) chiral structures with nominally identical dimensions and the same handedness. As it can be observed, the theoretical and experimental spectra of the Au structure look very similar, with two main features located at around 650 nm and 850 nm. The origin of these two features has been attributed to pseudopropagating plasmons excited by the light due to the grating periodicity.²⁶ The low energy structure is related to the coupling at the grating/air interface, whereas the high energy one is related to the coupling at the spatial distribution of the electromagnetic (EM) field calculated at the gammadion/substrate interface (650 nm) and on top of the gammadion structure (850 nm). The

Paper

strong increase of the EM intensity near the gammadion structure corroborates the plasmonic character of these features. Moreover, in that figure we also present the *z* dependence of the field intensity at the green points, corresponding to the maximum of the electromagnetic field intensity. As it can be seen for the high energy feature the EM field is more intense at the gammadion/substrate interface than at the gammadion/air one; the opposite occurs for the low energy feature, where the electromagnetic field intensity is higher at the gammadion/air interface. Moreover, the EM field at 650 nm is more localized in the gammadion than that at 850 nm.

Compared to the CD of the pure Au system, the main effect of introducing Co is to reduce the relative intensity of the 650 nm feature with respect to the 850 nm one, which can be attributed to the different localization of the electromagnetic field for these two features. On the other hand, due to the fabrication process, the actual structures do not have straight edges and sharp corners, presenting in fact more rounded shapes (see Fig. 1b). To get insight into the implications of this rounding of the actual shape, in the inset of Fig. 2b we also present a theoretical calculation of a Au/Co structure with smoothed edges, the main effect of this smoothing being the reduction of the intensity of the CD signal, with the resonance at 650 nm more affected by such smoothing, again due to stronger localization of the electromagnetic field for this energy which makes it more sensitive to the changes of the local shape of the structure. Further differences between the experimental and theoretical spectra can be attributed to differences between the experimental and theoretical shapes of the gammadions apart from simple rounding effects (for example fabrication induced local defects or surface roughness).

Once the optical chirality of the fabricated structures has been characterized, the next step is to study its possible modulation by the action of an external magnetic field. In Fig. 3 we present experimental and theoretical (insets) CD spectra of right-handed (Fig. 3a) and left-handed (Fig. 3b) Au/Co ML chiral structures magnetized along the positive (red curves) and negative (black curves) direction of the z-axis, respectively. As expected, a change of sign in the twist direction of the crosses leads to a change of sign in the CD spectra. As intended, due to the presence of a MO active element in the system, the CD spectra also depend on the magnetization direction, and as it can be seen there are spectral regions where the dichroic signals for opposite magnetizations (black and red curves) do not coincide. To highlight the purely magnetic induced effect, we have also plotted in Fig. 3 the difference between the dichroism spectra for opposite magnetizations (green curves), which corresponds to the magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) signal. This signal has been multiplied by 10. As can be seen, the MCD sign does not depend on the handedness of the structure (the observed dissimilarities between the two samples are related to small morphological variations introduced during the fabrication process). The obtained MCD spectra have features in the same spectral positions as those of the CD spectra, but contrary to the CD signal, the MCD intensity of the high energy structure is larger than the low energy one. This different behaviour is due to the different origin of the CD and MCD signal. Whereas the



Fig. 3 Experimental and theoretical (insets) circular dichroism spectra of right-handed (a) and left handed (b) Au/Co chiral structures, magnetized along the positive (black curves) and negative (red curves) direction of the *z*-axis, respectively. The green curves correspond to the magnetic circular dichroism (MCD) spectra. The MCD signal has been multiplied by 10.

CD signal is related to the geometry of the structure, the MCD signal is related to the electromagnetic field intensity inside the Co layers. In particular, for very thin Co layers, the MO response is proportional to:⁸

$$\varepsilon_{\rm MO} \int_{\rm Vco} E_{\rm p} E_{\rm s}$$

where, the integral is calculated in the volume occupied by the Co layers, ε_{MO} is the non-diagonal component of the dielectric tensor of Co, E_p (E_s) is the p component (s component) of the EM field at the Co layers when the incident light is p polarized (s polarized) calculated for a pure Au structure. Due to the stronger localization of the field inside the nanostructures for the high energy feature, the $E_p \times E_s$ product is larger in this spectral region than in the low energy one (see ESI[†]), which explains the larger MCD around the 650 nm resonance.

Nanoscale

The previous results show that the application of a magnetic field allows the modulation of the chiral activity in magnetoplasmonic chiral structures. Compared to other kinds of magneto-chiral systems considered in the literature, here the presence of a ferromagnetic component enhances the MCD effect, and as a consequence the ratio between the intensity of the MCD and CD features in the present work is between one to two orders of magnitude higher than those observed for paraand diamagnetic magneto-chiral systems at equivalent magnetic fields.²⁸⁻³⁰

This effect may allow developing new sensing strategies by making use of the magnetic field modulation of the chirality (*C*) of the electromagnetic (EM) field (so-called optical chirality) in this kind of system. The local optical chirality, *C*(*r*), is given by $C(r) = -\frac{\varepsilon_0 \omega}{2C_0} \text{Im}(E^*(r)B(r))$,³¹⁻³⁵ where, ω is the frequency of the EM field, *E*(*r*) and *B*(*r*) are the electric and magnetic components of the EM field at *r*, and *C*₀ is a normalization constant corresponding to the modulus of the optical chirality for a left (right) circular polarized wave propagating in a vacuum $(C_0 = |C^{\text{LCP}}| = |C^{\text{RCP}}|)$. For example, the chirooptical response of a molecule depends on the difference of this optical chirality *C* for left- and right-handed circularly polarized light, a difference that can be enhanced if the molecule is adsorbed on a chiral-plasmonic nanostructure.⁷ The local optical chirality depends strongly on the geometrical shape and arrangement of



Fig. 4 (Left column) Optical chirality plots calculated at 850 nm and at 5 nm above the gammadions surface when they are illuminated with: (a) right-handed circularly polarized light, (b) left right-handed circularly polarized light, (c) difference between the optical chirality in plots a and b. (Right column) Difference between the optical chirality when the structures are magnetized along the positive and negative direction of the *z*-axis, respectively, for illumination with (d) right-handed circularly polarized light, (e) left right-handed circularly polarized light, (f) Depicts the magnetic component of the optical chirality depicted in (c).

the nanostructures and enhancement factors higher than 100 have been calculated in the near field region of chiral oligomer structures.³⁴ In the left column of Fig. 4 we present the local optical chirality calculated (with no magnetic effects included) at a wavelength of 850 nm (and at 5 nm above the surface) for right-handed magnetoplasmonic gammadions illuminated by right-handed circularly polarized light (Fig. 4a) and left-handed circularly polarized light (Fig. 4b). Fig. 4c shows the difference in those optical chirality plots, obtaining a local enhancement factor of about 5. In our case, the local chirality is also affected by the orientation of the magnetization of the Co layers, thus we present in Fig. 4d-f the difference in the optical chiralities of Co layers magnetized in opposite directions along the z-axis. As it can be observed in Fig. 4d and e, the magnetic modulation of the optical chirality is larger for left handed circularly polarized light, as it also happens for the nonmagnetic component of the optical chirality (Fig. 4a and b). Also, the spatial distributions of both non-magnetic component and magnetic components of the optical chirality are very similar. Additionally, the switching of the Co magnetization from positive to negative can give rise to a relative modulation of the local optical chirality $(2 \times (C^{\uparrow} - C^{\downarrow})/(C^{\uparrow} + C^{\downarrow}))$ of around 0.2%. Finally, in Fig. 4f we show the magnetic field modulation of the difference in optical chiralities, presenting relative modulation values similar to those obtained above. Concerning the potential use for sensing of the 650 nm feature, the corresponding results also at 5 nm above the surface are also shown in the ESI.[†] As it can be seen in this case, all the values are lower than those for the 850 nm feature because the electromagnetic field is now concentrated near the substrate/gammadion interface.

As we have shown, by inserting Co layers in Au gammadions it is possible to obtain novel systems where both chiral and MO properties coexist. The structural helicity of these elements gives rise to a sizeable chirooptical activity (CD), in addition to which a MO activity (MCD), due to the presence of Co, is also present. Because of the periodicity of the fabricated structures, the incoming light couples to two pseudopropagating plasmons localized at the substrate/gammadion and gammadion/air interfaces, which manifest as two spectral features located at high and low energy respectively. Interestingly, the different degrees of localization of the electromagnetic field for these two modes strongly determine their chirooptical and magnetooptical activities, as well as their sensitivity to the insertion of Co or to morphological modifications. Actually, the high energy feature is characterized by a stronger concentration of the EM field in the gammadions, and as a consequence it is more sensitive to the insertion of Co, the application of a magnetic field and the presence of defects due to fabrication effects. We have also shown that, due to the presence of Co, the local optical chirality depends on the magnetic field, which suggests that both CD and MCD signals could be sensitive to the chiral component of molecules adsorbed on top of the nanostructure. Measuring both magnitudes in only one chiral nanostructure may allow us to discriminate the changes induced by the chiral component of the molecule from the changes induced by the non-chiral part of the molecule. This could give rise to novel strategies for chirooptical sensing using chiral magnetoplasmonic structures.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated magnetic field induced changes of chirooptical effects in magnetoplasmonic gammadions. This is achieved by the incorporation of thin ferromagnetic Co layers into a pure Au chiral structure. These systems present two main resonances in the spectral range of 400-1100 nm, with different chirooptical response and magnetic field induced effects whose physical origin is related to the geometry of the structures and the intensity of the in-plane electromagnetic field in the Co MO active layers. For equivalent magnetic fields, the relative modulation of the chirooptical effects is between one to two orders of magnitude higher than those obtained for para- and dia-magneto-chiral systems. Besides, we show that the optical chirality can also be modulated by the applied magnetic field. This proof of concept opens the route to new chirooptical sensing strategies by designing chiral magnetoplasmonic structures with increased local optical chirality and magnetic field modifications of chirooptical effects.

Acknowledgements

Funding from the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through grants "FUNCOAT" CONSOLIDER CSD2008-00023, and MAPS MAT2011-29194-C02-01, and from Comunidad de Madrid through grants "NANOBIOMAGNET" S2009/MAT-1726 and "MICROSERES-CM" S2009/TIC-1476 is acknowledged.

Notes and references

- 1 Z. Fan and A. O. Govorov, Nano Lett., 2010, 10, 2580.
- 2 A. Guerrero-Martinez, J. L. Alonso-Gomez, B. Auguie, M. M. Cid and L. M. Liz-Marzan, *Nano Today*, 2011, 6, 381.
- 3 A. Christofi, N. Stefanou, G. Gantzounis and N. Papanikolaou, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 16674.
- 4 M. Hentschel, M. Schäferling, T. Weiss, N. Liu and H. Giessen, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 2542.
- 5 V. K. Valev, J. J. Baumberg, C. Sibilia and T. Verbiest, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 2517.
- 6 Z. Li, M. Mutlu and E. Ozbay, J. Opt., 2013, 15, 023001.
- 7 E. Hendry, T. Carpy, J. Johnston, M. Popland,
 R. V. Mikhaylovskiy, A. J. Lapthorn, S. M. Kelly,
 L. D. Barron, N. Gadegaard and M. Kadodwala, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2010, 5, 783.
- 8 G. Armelles, A. Cebollada, A. García-Martín and M. U. González, Adv. Opt. Mater., 2013, 1, 10.
- 9 C. Hermann, V. A. Kosobukin, G. Lampel, J. Peretti, V. I. Safarov and P. Bertrand, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2001, 64, 235422.
- 10 J. B. González-Díaz, A. García-Martín, J. M. García-Martín, A. Cebollada, G. Armelles, B. Sepúlveda, Y. Alaverdyan and M. Käll, *Small*, 2008, 4, 202.

- 11 V. I. Belotelov, I. A. Akimov, M. Pohl, V. A. Kotov, S. Kasture, A. S. Vengurlekar, A. V. Gopal, D. R. Yakovlev, A. K. Zvezdin and M. Bayer, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, 6, 370.
- 12 J. Chin, T. Steinle, T. Wehlus, D. Dregely, T. Weiss, V. L. Belotelov, B. Stritzker and H. Giessen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2013, 4, 1599.
- 13 V. V. Temnov, G. Armelles, U. Woggon, D. Guzatov,
 A. Cebollada, A. Garcia-Martin, J. M. Garcia-Martin,
 T. Thomay, A. Leitenstorfer and R. Bratschitsch, *Nat. Photonics*, 2010, 4, 107.
- 14 B. Sepúlveda, A. Calle, L. M. Lechuga and G. Armelles, *Opt. Lett.*, 2006, 31, 1085.
- 15 D. Regatos, D. Fariña, A. Calle, A. Cebollada, B. Sepúlveda, G. Armelles and L. M. Lechuga, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2010, 108, 054502.
- 16 M. G. Manera, G. Montagna, E. Ferreiro-Vila, L. González-García, J. R. Sánchez-Valencia, A. R. González-Elipe, A. Cebollada, J. M. García-Martín, G. Armelles and R. Rella, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2011, 21, 16049.
- 17 V. Bonanni, S. Bonetti, T. Pakizeh, Z. Pirzadeh, J. Chen, J. Nogues, P. Vavassori, R. Hillenbrand, J. Akerman and A. Dmitriev, *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 5333.
- 18 D. Martín-Becerra, G. Armelles, M. U. Gónzalez and A. M. García-Martin, *New J. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 085021.
- 19 N. Engheta, D. L. Jaggard and M. K. Kowarrz, *IEEE Trans.* Antenn. Propag., 1992, 40, 367.
- 20 H. Tauk, J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 1997, 14, 2006.
- 21 A. H. Gevorgyan, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 2002, 382, 1.
- 22 A. H. Gevorgyan, Tech. Phys., 2002, 47, 1008.
- 23 I. Bita and E. L. Thomas, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, 2005, 22, 1199.
- 24 T. Vallius, K. Jefimovs, J. Turunen, P. Vahimaa and Y. Svirko, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2003, **83**, 234.
- 25 M. Kuwata-Gonokami, N. Saito, Y. Ino, M. Kauranen, K. Jefimovs, T. Vallius, J. Turunen and Y. Svirko, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2005, **95**, 227401.
- 26 K. Konishi, T. Sugimoto, B. Bai, Y. Svirko and K. Kuwata-Gonokami, *Opt. Express*, 2007, **15**, 9575.
- 27 B. Bai, Y. Svirko, J. Turunen and T. Vallius, *Phys. Rev. A*, 2007, **76**, 023811.
- 28 G. L. Rikken and E. Raupach, Phys. Rev. E: Stat. Phys., Plasmas, Fluids, Relat. Interdiscip. Top., 1998, 58, 5081.
- 29 Y. Kitagawa, H. Segawa and K. Ishii, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 9133.
- 30 Y. Kitagawa, H. Segawa and K. Ishii, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 5091.
- 31 Y. Tang and A. E. Cohen, Science, 2011, 332, 333.
- 32 Y. Tang and A. E. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett., 2010, 104, 163901.
- 33 E. Hendry, R. V. Mikhaylovskiy, L. D. Barron, M. Kadodwala and T. J. Davis, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, 12, 3640.
- 34 M. Schaferling, D. Dregely, M. Hentschel and H. Giessen, *Phys. Rev. X*, 2012, **2**, 031010.
- 35 M. Schaferling, X. Yin and H. Giessen, *Opt. Express*, 2012, **20**, 26326.